

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE                                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/508,781                               | 09/19/2005                                   | Tai-Tung Yip         | 035394-0265         | 8494             |
|  | 2428 7590 10/31/2007<br>OLEY AND LARDNER LLP |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| SUITE 500                                |  |                      | ARCHIE, NINA        |                  |
| 3000 K STREET NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20007 |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |  |                      | 1645                |                  |
|  |  |                      |                     |                  |
|  |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |  |                      | 10/31/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/508,781   | YIP ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Nina A. Archie   | 1645  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>16(a). In no event, however, may a<br>rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2004</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | ······································   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | x parto quayro, 1000 o.i   | 5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e   | election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | j.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | Informal Patent Application   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/508,781

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- 1. Group I: claims 1-7 drawn to a method for qualifying hepatocellular carcinoma status in a subject.
- 2. Group II: claims 8-10 drawn to a kit.
- 3. Group III: claims 11-14 drawn to software software for qualifying hepatocellular carcinoma status in a subject.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- 4. The technical feature of Group I a method for qualifying hepatocellular carcinoma status in a subject. The technical feature of Group 1 is anticipated by Yang et al US Patent No. 5,702,907 Date Dec. 30, 1997. Yang et al teaches a method for qualifying hepatocellular carcinoma status in a subject comprised of analyzing a biological sample from said subject for a diagnostic level of a protein.
- 5. The technical feature of Group II is a kit.
- 6. The technical feature of Group III is software for qualifying hepatocellular carcinoma status in a subject.

## Protein Election Requirement to Group II, Group III, or Group III

In addition, Groups I, II, and III detailed above, read on patentably distinct sequences. Each protein is patentably distinct because they are structurally different and a further restriction is applied to each Group.

For Groups I, II, and III choose a single protein from the list of proteins below.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,781

Art Unit: 1645

List of proteins;

I-M1, I-M2, I-M3, I-M4, I-M5, I-M6, I-M7, I-M8, I-M9, I-M10, I-MII I-M12, I-M13, I-M14, I-M15, I-M16, I-M17, I-M18, I-M19, I-M20, I-M21 I-M22, I-M23, I-M24, I-M25, I-M26, I-M27, I-M28, I-M29, I-M30, I-M31 I°M32, I-M33, I-M34, I-M35, I-M36, I-M37, I-M38, I-M39, I-M40, I-M41, I-M42, I-M43, I-M44, I-M45, I-M46, I-M47, I-M48, I-M49, I-M50, I-M51, I-M52, I-M53, I-M54, I-M55, I-M56, I-M57, I-M58, I-M59, I-M60, I-M61, I-M62, IM63, I-M64, I-M65, I-M66, I-M67, I-M68, I-M69, I-M70, I-M71, I-M72, I-M73, I-M74, I-M75, I-M76, I-M77, I-M79, I-M80, I-M81, I-M82, I-M83, I-M84, I-M85, I-M86, I-M87, I-M88, I-M89, I-M90, I-M91, I-M92, I-M93, I-M94, I-M95, I-M96, I-M97, I-M98, I-M99, I-M100, W-M1, W-M2, W-M3, W-M4, W-M5, W-M6, W-M7, W-M8, W-M9, W-M10, W-M11, W-M12, W-M13, W-M14, W-M15, W-M16, W-M17, W-M18, W-M19, W-M20, W-M21, W-M22, W-M23 W-M24,. W-M25, W-M26, W-M27 W-M28, W-M29, W-M30, W-M31 W-M32, W-M33, W-M34, W-M35 W-M36, W-M37, W-M38, W-M39 W-M40, W-M41, W-M42, W-M43 W-M44, W-M45 W-M46, W-M47 W-M48, W-M49, W-M50, W-M51 W-M52, W-M53 W-M54, W-M55 W-M56 W-M57, W-M58, W-M59 W-M60, W-M61 W-M61, W-M62 W-M63 W-M64, W-M65, W-M66 W-M67, W-M68 W-M69, W-M70, W-M71 W-M72, W-M73, W-M74 W-M75, W-M76 W-M77, W-M79, W-M80 W-M81, W-M82, W-M83 W-M84, W-M85 W-M86, W-M87, W-M88 W-M89, W-M90, W-M91 W-M92, W-M93 W-M94, W-M95, W-M96 W-M97, W-M98, W-M99 W-M100.

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected protein should not be construed as a species election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1645

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nina Archie whose telephone number is 571-272-9938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,781

Art Unit: 1645

Nina Archie

Patent Examiner

Art unit, 1645

Remsen 3B31

MARK NAVARRO PRIMARY EXAMINER